

DECISION OF THE GOVERNING BOARD OF THE SMART NETWORKS AND SERVICES JOINT UNDERTAKING N° 12/2023

On 24/05/2023

On the adoption of the Internal Control Framework (ICF)

THE GOVERNING BOARD OF THE SNS JOINT UNDERTAKING.

Having regard to Council Regulation (EU) 2021/2085¹ of 19 November 2021 establishing the Joint Undertakings under Horizon Europe (hereinafter "Founding Regulation"), and notably the Smart Networks and Services Joint Undertaking (hereinafter the "SNS JU") and in particular Articles 17(2)(r) and 30(5) thereof;

Having regard to Regulation (EU, Euratom) 2018/1046² of the European Parliament and of the Council of 18 July 2018 on the financial rules applicable to the general budget of the Union, amending Regulations (EU) No 1296/2013, (EU) No 1301/2013, (EU) No 1303/2013, (EU) No 1304/2013, (EU) No 1309/2013, (EU) No 1316/2013, (EU) No 223/2014, (EU) No 283/2014, and Decision No 541/2014/EU and repealing Regulation (EU, Euratom) No 966/2012 (1) and in particular Articles 36 ("Internal control of budget implementation") and 71 ("Public-private partnership bodies");

Having regard to the Financial Rules adopted by the Governing Board on 15 December 2021, in particular Article 14(3) ("Internal control of budget implementation") and Article 20(4) ("Powers and duties of the authorising officer");

WHEREAS:

- (1) Internal Control is a process that helps an organisation to achieve its objectives and sustain operational and financial performance, respecting rules and regulations. It supports sound decision making, taking into account risks to the achievement of objectives and reducing them to acceptable levels through cost-effective controls.
- (2) The internal control framework is designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the achievement of five objectives set in Article 36(2) of the Financial Regulation: a) effectiveness, efficiency and economy of operations; b) reliability of reporting; c)

¹ OJ L 427, 30.11.2021, pp. 17–119.

² OJ L 193, 30.7.2018, pp. 1–222.

safeguarding of assets and information; d) prevention, detection, correction and follow-up of fraud and irregularities, and e) adequate management of the risks relating to the legality and regularity of the underlying transactions, taking into account the multiannual character of programmes as well as the nature of the payments concerned.

- (3) The SNS JU is entrusted with implementing EU Budget and should therefore ensure proper management and control mechanism in accordance with Article 36 of the Financial Regulation and provide a level of assurance from their systems at least equivalent to that of the Commission.
- (4) The European Commission has adopted on 19 April 2017 a revised Internal Control Framework (ICF)³ to better reflect today's ever-changing environment and to allow the services the necessary flexibility to adapt to their specific characteristics and circumstances while ensuring a robust internal control with a consistent assessment.
- (5) It is therefore necessary for the SNS JU's well-functioning to adopt the present decision in compliance with this revised ICF.

HAS DECIDED AS FOLLOWS:

Article 1

The SNS JU Internal Control Framework (ICF) annexed to this decision is hereby adopted. The principles described in the SNS JU ICF constitute the minimum standards referred to in Article 20(4) of the SNS JU Financial Rules.

Article 2

The Executive Director shall implement this decision and set up accordingly the SNS JU ICF as set out in Article 20(4) of the SNS JU Financial Rules.

Article 3

This decision shall enter into force on the day following its adoption.

Done at Brussels, on 24 May 2023,

For the Governing Board

Colin Willcock Chairperson of the Governing Board

Annex: Internal Control Framework

_

³ C(2017) 2373 Final.



SNS JU INTERNAL CONTROL FRAMEWORK

Table of Contents

I – Context and definition	on	
II – Components, princi	iples and characteristics of the SNS JU Internal Con	ntrol Framework4
COMPONENT I	I: THE CONTROL ENVIRONMENT	4
1. The SNS.	JU demonstrates a commitment to integrity and ethic	ical values 4
	erning Board demonstrates independence from mana performance of internal control	
	ent establishes, with political oversight, structures, sponsibilities in the pursuit of objectives	
	JU demonstrates a commitment to attract, develop, pjectives	
	JU holds individuals accountable for their internal c	
COMPONENT I	II: THE RISK ASSESSMENT	7
	JU specifies objectives with sufficient clarity to enabobjectives	
	JU identifies risks to the achievement of its objective a basis for determining how the risks should be man	
8. The SNS.	JU considers the potential for fraud in assessing rish	ks to the achievement of objectives 8
9. The SNS system.8	JU identifies and assesses changes that could signif	icantly impact the internal control
COMPONENT I	III: THE CONTROL ACTIVITIES	9
	JU selects and develops control activities that contribjectives to acceptable levels.	
	JU selects and develops general control activities or bjectives.	
	JU deploys control activities through corporate policies that put policies into action	
	IV: INFORMATION AND COMMUNIC	
	JU obtains or generates and uses relevant quality in l	
	JU internally communicates information, including necessary to support the functioning of internal cont	
15. The SNS control.12	JU communicates with external parties about matte	rs affecting the functioning of internal
COMPONENT V	V: MONITORING ACTIVITIES	13
	JU selects, develops, and performs ongoing and/or sonents of internal control are present and functioning	
parties responsible appropriate	JU assesses and communicates internal control defi e for taking corrective action, including senior man	agement and the Governing Board, as
III – Appendices		
	neral principles for the assessment of internal control	
	claration of Assurance of the Authorising Officer	
Appendix 3 – Stat	tement of the Manager in charge of risk management	nt and internal control17

I - Context and definition

Internal control applies to all SNS JU activities, irrespective of whether they are financial or non-financial. It is a process that helps an organisation to achieve its objectives and sustain operational and financial performance, respecting rules and regulations. It supports sound decision making, taking into account risks to the achievement of objectives and reducing them to acceptable levels through cost-effective controls.

According to Article 14 of the Financial Rules of the SNS JU and Article 36 of the EU Financial Regulation, the Internal Control Framework (ICF) is designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the achievement of the following five objectives:

- a) Effectiveness, efficiency and economy of operations;
- b) Reliability of reporting;
- c) Safeguarding of assets and information;
- d) Prevention, detection, correction and follow-up of fraud and irregularities, and
- e) Adequate management of the risks relating to the legality and regularity of the underlying transactions, taking into account the multiannual character of programmes as well as the nature of the payments concerned.

As an entrusted body implementing EU Budget, the SNS JU should ensure proper management and control mechanism in accordance with Article 36 of the EU Financial Regulation and provide a level of assurance of their systems at least equivalent to the Commission one.

The European Commission has adopted on 19/04/2017 (C(2017) 2373 Final) a revised Internal Control Framework to better reflect today's ever-changing environment and to allow its services the necessary flexibility to adapt to their specific characteristics and circumstances while ensuring a robust internal control with a consistent assessment.

The new Internal Control Framework is implemented by the SNS JU adopting, *mutatis mutandis*, the Commission framework, which consists of five internal control components and 17 principles based on the COSO 2013 Internal Control-Integrated Framework.¹

The SNS JU internal control components are:

- a) Control environment,
- b) Risk assessment,
- c) Control activities,
- d) Information and communication, and
- e) Monitoring activities.

They are the building blocks that underpin the structure of the Internal Control Framework and support the SNS JU in its efforts to achieve its objectives. The five components are interrelated and must be present and effective at all levels of the organisation for internal control over operations to be considered effective.

¹ The full text of the Internal Control-Integrated Framework is available at www.coso.org.

In order to facilitate the implementation of the Internal Control Framework and management's assessment of whether each component is present and functioning and whether the components function well together, each component consists of a set of principles. Assessment based on these principles helps to provide reasonable assurance that the SNS JU's objectives are met. The principles specify the actions required for internal control to be effective.

The Internal Control Framework also identifies the characteristics of each principle. These characteristics are defined in such a way as to take into account the specific governance arrangements in the SNS JU. There is no requirement for the SNS JU to assess whether each individual characteristic is in place. The characteristics are defined to assist management in implementing internal control procedures and in assessing whether the principles are present and functioning. Management is expected to have persuasive evidence to support its assessment.

II – Components, principles and characteristics of the SNS JU Internal Control Framework

COMPONENT I: THE CONTROL ENVIRONMENT

CONTROL ENVIRONMENT	 Demonstrates commitment to integrity and ethical values Exercises oversight responsibility Establishes structure, authority and responsibility Demonstrates commitment to competence Enforces accountability

The control environment is the set of standards of conduct, processes, and structures that provide the basis for carrying out internal control across an organisation. The Governing Board and senior management set the tone at the top for the importance of internal control, including expected standards of conduct.

1. The SNS IU demonstrates a commitment to integrity and ethical values.

Characteristics:

- **Tone at the top**. The Governing Board, advisory bodies, the Executive Director and all management levels respect integrity and ethical values in their instructions, actions and behaviour.
- Standards of conduct. The SNS JU's expectations on integrity and ethical values are set out in standards of conduct and understood at all levels of the organisation, as well as by outsourced service providers and beneficiaries.
- **Alignment with standards**. Processes are in place to assess whether individuals and departments are aligned with the SNS JU's expected standards of conduct and to address deviations in a timely manner.
- 2. The Governing Board demonstrates independence from management and exercises oversight of the development and performance of internal control.

<u>Characteristics</u>:

- The Governing Board oversees the SNS JU's governance, risk management and internal control practices and takes overall political responsibility for management carried out by the Executive Director. This happens through the use of appropriate working arrangements and communication channels between its bodies, the Accounting officer, the SNS JU Programme Office and the Internal Audit Service.
- The Executive Director oversees the internal control systems within the **Programme Office** as well as the development and performance of internal control.

The Executive Director is supported in this task by the Manager in charge of risk management and internal control.

- In her/his capacity as **Authorising Officer**, the Executive Director provides a Declaration of Assurance on the appropriate allocation of resources and their use for their intended purpose and in accordance with the principles of sound financial management, as well as on the adequacy of the control procedures in place (see Annex 2).
- The Manager in charge of risk management and internal control plays a key role by coordinating the preparation of the Annual Activity Report of the SNS JU². In this context, (s)he signs a declaration covering the state of internal control in the Programme Office and taking responsibility for the completeness and reliability of management reporting in the subject (see Annex 3). This declaration is complemented by the declarations made by Managers who cover the robustness of reporting on operational performance. However, responsibility for achieving operational objectives remains with the relevant organisational departments and individuals.
- 3. <u>Management establishes, with political oversight, structures, reporting lines, and appropriate authorities and responsibilities in the pursuit of objectives.</u>

Characteristics:

- Management structures are comprehensive. The design and implementation of management and supervision structures cover all programmes and activities. In particular, for spending programmes, they cover all expenditure types and delivery mechanisms to support the achievement of policy, operational and control objectives.
- Authorities and responsibilities. The Governing Board and the Executive Director, as appropriate, delegate authority and use appropriate processes and technology to assign responsibility and segregate duties as necessary at the various levels of the JU.
- **Reporting lines.** The Executive Director and senior management designs and evaluates reporting lines within departments to enable the execution of authority, fulfilment of responsibilities, and flow of information.
- 4. The SNS JU demonstrates a commitment to attract, develop, and retain competent individuals in alignment with objectives.

Characteristics:

_

- Competence framework. The Executive Director defines the competences necessary to support the achievement of objectives and regularly evaluate them across the Programme Office, taking action to address shortcomings where necessary.

² In line with the organisational structure of the Programme Office, this responsibility may be entrusted to individual members of management, each covering specific sections of the Annual Activity Report. In this case, each of them signs a separate declaration covering their scope of responsibility.

- **Professional development.** The Programme Office provides the training and coaching needed to attract, develop, and retain a sufficient number of competent staff.
- **Mobility.** The Programme Office facilitates staff mobility within the network of Agencies and JUs to strike the right balance between continuity and renewal. Taking into account the size and mandate of the organisation, it also promotes and plans reassignments of tasks among staff members to strike the right balance between personal development of individuals and continuity.
- Succession planning and deputising arrangements for operational activities and financial transactions are in place to ensure continuity of operations.
- 5. The SNS JU holds individuals accountable for their internal control responsibilities in the pursuit of objectives.

- **Enforcing accountability.** The SNS JU defines clear roles and responsibilities and holds individuals and entrusted entities accountable for the performance of internal control responsibilities across the organisation and for the implementation of corrective action as necessary.
- **Staff appraisal.** Staff efficiency, abilities and conduct in the service are assessed annually against expected standards of conduct and set objectives. Cases of underperformance are appropriately addressed.
- **Staff promotion.** Promotion is decided after consideration of the comparative merits of eligible staff taking into account, in particular, their appraisal reports.

COMPONENT II: THE RISK ASSESSMENT

RISK ASSESSMENT	6. Specifies suitable objectives7. Identifies and analyses risk8. Assesses fraud risk9. Identifies and analyses significant change
	71 Tuentines and analyses significant change

Risk assessment is a dynamic and iterative process for identifying and assessing risks which could affect the achievement of objectives, and for determining how such risks should be managed.

6. The SNS JU specifies objectives with sufficient clarity to enable the identification and assessment of risks relating to objectives.

Characteristics:

- **Mission.** The SNS JU, its bodies and the Programme Office have up-to-date mission statements that are aligned across all hierarchical levels, down to the tasks and objectives assigned to individual staff members. Mission statement are aligned with the SNS JU's responsibilities and the policy objectives set out in the legal base.
- Objectives are set at every level. The SNS JU's objectives are clearly set and updated when necessary (e.g. significant changes in priorities, activities and/or the organigram). They are consistently filtered down from the Executive Director level to the various levels of the organisation, and are communicated and understood by management and staff.
- Objectives are set for the most significant activities. Objectives³ and indicators⁴ cover the Programme Office most significant activities contributing to the delivery of the SNS JU priorities or other priorities relating to the core business, as well as operational management.
- Objectives form the basis for committing resources. Management uses the objectives set as a basis for allocating available resources as needed to achieve policy, operational and financial performance goals.
- **Financial reporting objectives.** Financial reporting objectives are consistent with the accounting principles applicable in the SNS JU.
- Non-financial reporting objectives. Non-financial reporting provides management with accurate and complete information needed to manage the organisation at Programme Office level.
- **Risk tolerance and materiality.** When setting objectives, management defines the acceptable levels of variation relative to their achievement (tolerance for risk) as well

7

³ Objectives must be SMART (specific, measurable, achievable, relevant and time-framed).

⁴ Indicators must be RACER (relevant, accepted, credible, easy to monitor and robust.

- as the appropriate level of materiality for reporting purposes, taking into account cost-effectiveness.
- **Monitoring.** Setting objectives and performance indicators make it possible to monitor progress towards their achievement.
- 7. The SNS JU identifies risks to the achievement of its objectives across the organisation and analyses risks as a basis for determining how the risks should be managed.

Characteristics:

- **Risk identification.** The Programme Office identifies and assesses risks at the various organisational levels (including risks cross-cutting the SNS JU's bodies and the Programme Office), analysing internal and external factors. Management and staff are involved in the process at the appropriate level.
- **Risk assessment.** The Programme Office estimates the significance of the risks identified and determines how to respond to significant risks considering how each one should be managed and whether to accept, avoid, reduce or share the risk. The intensity of mitigating controls is proportional to the significance of the risk.
- **Risk identification and risk assessment** are integrated into the annual activity planning and are regularly monitored.
- 8. The SNS JU considers the potential for fraud in assessing risks to the achievement of objectives.

Characteristics:

- **Risk of fraud.** The risk identification and assessment procedures (see principle 7) consider possible incentives, pressures, opportunities and attitudes which may lead to any type of fraud, notably fraudulent reporting, loss of assets, disclosure of sensitive information and corruption.
- Anti-fraud strategy. The SNS JU sets up and implements measures to counter fraud and any illegal activities affecting the financial interests of its funding members. The JU does this by putting in place a sound anti-fraud strategy to improve the prevention, detection and conditions for investigating fraud, and to set out reparation and deterrence measures, with proportionate and dissuasive sanctions.
- 9. The SNS JU identifies and assesses changes that could significantly impact the internal control system.

Characteristics:

- Assess changes. The risk identification process considers changes in the internal and external environment, in policies and operational priorities, as well as in management's attitude towards the internal control system.

COMPONENT III: THE CONTROL ACTIVITIES

CONTROL ACTIVITIES	10. Selects and develops control activities	
	11. Selects and develops general control over technology	
	12. Deploys through policies and procedures	

Control activities ensure the mitigation of risks related to the achievement of policy, operational and internal control objectives. They are performed at all levels of the organisation, at various stages of business processes, and across the technology environment. They may be preventive or detective and encompass a range of manual and automated activities as well as segregation of duties.

10. The SNS JU selects and develops control activities that contribute to the mitigation of risks to the achievement of objectives to acceptable levels.

Characteristics:

- Control activities are performed to mitigate the identified risks and are costeffective. They are tailored to the specific activities and risks of the Programme Office and their intensity is proportional to the underlying risks.
- Control activities are integrated in a control strategy. The control strategy includes a variety of checks, including supervision arrangements, and where appropriate, should include a balance of approaches to mitigate risks, considering manual and automated controls, and preventive and detective controls.
- Segregation of duties. When putting in place control measures, management considers whether duties are correctly distributed between staff members to reduce risks of error and inappropriate or fraudulent actions.
- **Business continuity plans** based on a business impact analysis following corporate guidance are in place, up-to-date and used by trained staff to ensure that the SNS JU is able to continue working to the maximum extent possible in case of a major disruption. Where necessary, business continuity plans must include coordinated and agreed disaster recovery plans for time-sensitive supporting infrastructure (e.g. IT systems).
- 11. The SNS JU selects and develops general control activities over technology to support the achievement of objectives.

Characteristics:

- Control over technology. In order to ensure that technology used in business processes, including automated controls, is reliable, and taking into account the overall corporate processes, the Programme Office selects and develops control activities over the acquisition, development and maintenance of technology and related infrastructure.

- Security of IT systems. The SNS JU applies appropriate controls to ensure the security of the IT systems of which it is the system owners. This is done in accordance with the IT security governance principles, in particular as regards data protection, professional secrecy, availability, confidentiality and integrity.
- 12. The SNS JU deploys control activities through corporate policies that establish what is expected and in procedures that put policies into action.

- Appropriate control procedures ensure that objectives are achieved. The control procedures assign responsibility for control activities to the department or individual responsible for the risk in question. The staff member(s) put in charge perform the control activities in a timely manner and with due diligence, taking corrective action where needed. Management periodically reassesses the control procedures to ensure that they remain relevant.
- **Exception reporting** is one of the management tools used to draw conclusions about the effectiveness of internal control and/or the changes needed in the internal control system. A system is in place to ensure that all instances of overriding controls or deviations from established processes and procedures are documented in exception reports. All instances must be justified and approved before action is taken, and logged centrally.
- The impact assessment and evaluation of the SNS JU initiative is performed by the European Commission, with the help of independent experts. Actions stemming from the recommendations outlined in the impact assessment are implemented by the JU to the extent that they are addressed to its bodies and to the Programme Office. Actions for the Programme Office are endorsed by its Governing Board.

COMPONENT IV: INFORMATION AND COMMUNICATION INFORMATION AND COMMUNICATION

INFORMATION AND COMMUNICATION	13. Uses relevant information 14. Communicates internally 15. Communicates externally
	13. dominamentes externally

Information is necessary for the organisation to carry out internal control and to support the achievement of objectives. There is external and internal communication. External communication provides the public and stakeholders with information on the SNS JU's policy objectives and actions. Internal communication provides staff with the information it needs to achieve its objectives and to carry out day-to-day controls.

13. The SNS JU obtains or generates and uses relevant quality information to support the functioning of internal control.

Characteristics:

- Information and document management. The Programme Office identifies the information required to support the functioning of the internal control system and the achievement of the SNS JU's objectives. Information systems process relevant data, captured from both internal and external sources, to obtain the required and expected quality information, in compliance with applicable security, document management and data protection rules. This information is produced in a timely manner, and is reliable, current, accurate, complete, accessible, protected, verifiable, filed and preserved. It is shared within the organisation in line with prevailing guidelines.
- 14. The SNS JU internally communicates information, including objectives and responsibilities for internal control, necessary to support the functioning of internal control.

- Internal communication. The SNS JU bodies, units and services of the Programme Office, the Accounting Officer and the Internal Audit Service communicate internally about their objectives, challenges, actions taken and results achieved, including but not limited to the objectives and responsibilities of internal control.
- Separate communication lines, such as whistleblowing hotlines, are in place at the SNS JU level to ensure information flow when normal channels are ineffective.

15. The SNS JU communicates with external parties about matters affecting the functioning of internal control.

- External communication: The SNS JU ensures that its external communication is consistent, relevant to the audience being targeted, and cost-effective. The SNS JU establishes clear responsibilities to align Programme Office communication Activities with the SNS JU's priorities and narrative of the institution.
- Communication on internal control. The SNS JU communicates with external parties and stakeholders on the functioning of the components of internal control. Relevant and timely information is communicated externally, taking into account the timing, audience, and nature of the communication, as well as legal, regulatory, and fiduciary requirements.

COMPONENT V: MONITORING ACTIVITIES

MONITORING	16. Conducts ongoing and/or separate assessments
ACTIVITIES	17. Assesses and communicates deficiencies

Continuous and specific assessments are used to ascertain whether each of the five components of internal control is present and functioning. Continuous assessments, built into business processes at different levels of the organisation, provide timely information on any deficiencies. Findings are assessed and deficiencies are communicated and corrected in a timely manner, with serious matters reported as appropriate.

16. The SNS JU selects, develops, and performs ongoing and/or separate assessments to ascertain whether the components of internal control are present and functioning.

Characteristics:

- Continuous and specific assessments. The Programme Office continuously monitors the performance of the internal control system with tools that make it possible to identify internal control deficiencies, register and assess the results of controls, and control deviations and exceptions. In addition, when necessary, the Programme Office carries out specific assessments, taking into account changes in the control environment. Ongoing assessments are built into business processes and adjusted to changing conditions. Both kinds of assessment must be based on the general principles set out in Annex 1.
- Sufficient knowledge and information. Staff performing ongoing or separate assessments has sufficient knowledge and information to do this, specifically on the scope and completeness of the results of controls, control deviations and exceptions.
- **Risk-based and periodical assessments.** The Programme Office varies the scope and frequency of specific assessments depending on the identified risks. Specific assessments are performed periodically to provide objective feedback.
- 17. The SNS JU assesses and communicates internal control deficiencies in a timely manner to those parties responsible for taking corrective action, including senior management and the Governing Board, as appropriate.

Characteristics:

Deficiencies. With the support of the Manager in charge of risk management and internal control, the Executive Director considers the results of the assessments of how the internal control system is functioning within the SNS JU. Deficiencies are communicated to management and to the departments responsible for taking corrective action. They are reported in the Annual Activity Reports to the Governing Board, as appropriate. The term 'internal control deficiency' means a shortcoming in a component or components and relevant principle(s) that reduces the likelihood of the SNS JU achieving its objectives. There is a major deficiency in the internal control

system if management determines that a component and one or more relevant principles are not present or functioning or that components are not working together. When a major deficiency exists, the Executive Director cannot conclude that it has met the requirements of an effective system of internal control. To classify the severity of internal control deficiencies, management has to use judgment based on relevant criteria contained in regulations, rules or external standards.

Remedial action. Corrective action is taken in a timely manner by the staff member(s) in charge of the processes concerned, under the supervision of their management. With the support of the Manager in charge of risk management and internal control, the Executive Director monitors and takes responsibility for the timely implementation of corrective action.

III - Appendices

<u>Appendix 1 - General principles for the assessment of internal control</u>

A system of internal control allows management to stay focused on the SNS JU pursuit of its operational and financial objectives. In addition, the Financial Rules of the SNS JU require that the budget must be implemented in compliance with effective and efficient internal control.

The Executive Director must be able to demonstrate not only that he/she has put controls in place but also that these controls take account of the risks involved and that they work as intended.

Internal control principle 16 states that the Programme Office must carry out continuous and specific assessments to ascertain whether the internal control systems and their components are present and functioning. They must carry out an overall assessment of the presence and functioning of all internal control components at least once per year.

Even though the principles and their characteristics are straightforward defined in such a way as to take into account the specific governance arrangements in the SNS JU, in practice their implementation, and therefore the assessment of their implementation, can vary from one organisation to another.

Therefore, before assessing its internal control system, the SNS JU must set its own baseline for each principle, as best adapted to its specificities and risks. These baselines are a starting point for effective internal control, from which regular monitoring and specific assessments can be implemented.

The baselines should be expressed in terms of relevant and pertinent indicators. Where possible, these indicators should be quantitative.

Since the principles are interdependent, sometimes it is impossible to fully quantify the effective implementation of each individual principle other than through generic qualitative indicators. Nonetheless, effective implementation can be assessed based on a variety of sources of evidence (e.g. process reviews, register of exceptions, reporting of internal control weaknesses, management supervision and ad-hoc verification, surveys and interviews, management self-assessments, audit reports, stakeholder feedback). The baselines may be adapted in subsequent years in order to make sure monitoring activities remain appropriate and up-to-date.

The assessment of whether the internal control system reduces the risk of not achieving an objective to an acceptable level should follow these logical steps, leading to the identification of internal control deficiencies:

- Establishment of a baseline for each principle;
- Assessment at principle and at component level;
- Overall assessment.

<u>Appendix 2 - Declaration of Assurance of the Authorising Officer</u>

I, the undersigned,
Executive Director of the Smart Networks and Services Joint Undertaking
In my capacity as the authorising officer
Declare that the information contained in this report gives a true and fair view. ⁵
State that I have reasonable assurance that the resources assigned to the activities described in this report have been used for their intended purpose and in accordance with the principles of sound financial management, and that the control procedures put in place give the necessary guarantees concerning the legality and regularity of the underlying transactions.
This reasonable assurance is based on my own judgement and on the information at my disposal, such as the results of the self-assessment, ex-post controls, the work of the Internal Audit Service and the lessons learnt from the reports of the Court of Auditors for years prior to the year of this declaration.
Confirm that I am not aware of anything not reported here which could harm the interests of the SNS JU.
[However the following reservations should be noted:] (Delete this sentence if not applicable)
Place (Signature)
[Name of the AO]

 $^{^{5}}$ True and fair in this context means a reliable, complete and correct view on the state of affairs in the DG/Executive Agency.

<u>Appendix 3 – Statement of the Manager in charge of risk management and internal control</u>

a) If the Manager in charge of risk management and internal control takes responsibility for the completeness and reliability of all management reporting, the declaration should read:

'I declare that in accordance with the internal control framework adopted by the Governing Board of the SNS JU, I have reported my advice and recommendations on the overall state of internal control in the SNS JU to the Executive Director.

I hereby certify that the information provided in the present Annual Activity Report and in its annexes is, to the best of my knowledge, accurate and complete.'
Place, date
b) If responsibility for the completeness and reliability of management reporting is split between two people, the text of the declarations should be amended as follows:
For the Manager in charge of risk management and internal control:
'I declare that in accordance with the internal control framework adopted by the Governing Board of the SNS JU, I have reported my advice and recommendations on the overall state of internal control in the SNS JU to the Executive Director.
I hereby certify that the information provided in Section X of the present Annual Activity Report and in its annexes is, to the best of my knowledge, accurate and complete.'
Place, date
For the Manager taking responsibility for the completeness and reliability of management reporting on results and on the achievement of objectives:
'I hereby certify that the information provided in Section Y to Z of the present Annual Activity Report and in its annexes is, to the best of my knowledge, accurate and complete.'
Place, date
(Signature) [Name and function]