

# Introduce outcome of Research work in 3GPP SA1

Overview of SA1 WoW and how Research work is adopted to standardization

Daniel Lönnblad

2025-03-27

Outline

Introduction of SA1 Example use case form Hexa-X-II Take aways





## Introduction of SA1



### 3GPP SA1 + new verticals = TRUE

- 3GPP SA1 creates service requirements, often derived from use cases.
- The SA1 group focuses on new services and enhancing existing services.
  - SA1 is always one release ahead of all the other 3GPP working groups, including RAN WGs!

Background

- SA1 is the appointed entry point for new verticals
- SA1 changes "vertical" requirements into "3GPP language" so they can be (re-)used in 3GPP by other verticals.
- The SA1 service requirements cover the whole network, including both core and radio!
- SA1 has traditionally worked with operator services and are now also getting input form verticals.

### SA1 WoW: Use case->Potential requirement -> Requirement



- SA1 studies are structured around identifying service requirement through jointly agreed use cases
- Potential new requirements needed to support the use case in a TR (Technical Report)
  - Typically, enablers and KPI's

 Consolidated potential requirement (consolidated with similar requirements and made more general) in the same TR

 In a Work item these consolidated requirement are considered and the agreed ones goes to Requirements in a TS (Technical Specification)



## Example use case from Hexa-X-II

# PHYSICAL AWARENESS

.

Physical Awareness use cases build on beyondcommunication capabilities in networks: sensing, positioning, compute, and AI. By gathering 3D data about physical scenarios and situations, efficiency and safety can be improved.

### **Use Cases**

Network Assisted 3D Mobility | Network Physical Data Exposure | Wide-area surveillance/Smart Crowd Monitoring | Environmental Radio Sensing

## **FIGE** Network-Assisted 3D Mobility



### SA1 WoW: Hexa-X-II -> 3GPP SA1

### Hexa-X-II

### 3.7 Physical Awareness Use Case Family

### 3.7.1 Network-Assisted Mobility (Representative Use Case) 3.7.1.1 Use Case Description

In this use case, illustrated in Figure 3-4, vehicles (cars, AGVs, drones, etc.) are relyi and devices for localization of connected and unconnected objects and for determi such as size and velocity, contextual info, trajectories etc. Vehicles have a reliable of with services available in a well-defined service area. Networks measure the physic scenarios and analyse to detect objects, and aggregate data of device positions and extract information to relay to vehicles. Position data shared with vehicles can inclu (VRUs), such as pedestrians and cyclists.

This can be done on several levels; networks can provide raw or processed environi navigational support ranging from collecting and sharing of data, over navigation assi leveraging on beyond-communication capabilities. The network can thereby suppor levels of autonomy and different modes of operation and enable smart transport in u Furthermore, there is a possibility to use the physical environment understanding are

nprove communication to vehicles by tailoring beams, avoid blockers, etc. Some examples of the services provided by networks are: network assistance info

object locations), network assistance man (digital 3D man data), network navigation ( full operation (remote control of vehicles) and conte scheduling)

### Problem(s) to be solved/challenges

- Risk of accidents with intense and automated transport scenarios. The us
  vehicles to avoid collisions and see around corners. Network support is a s reliable solution for vehicles.
- · Energy consumption and generated emissions from transport. Urban tra from an energy perspective and lean, unmanned, electrical transport can appropriate guidance. Network support also allows the reduction of weight onboard sensors are needed, thereby reducing their energy consumption
- Cost of transportation of goods and people. The use case supports increase operation of transport, reducing operational costs. Network support also vehicles, reducing their cost.

### Hexa-X-II

- · Connectivity: Reliable communication is a critical aspect of this use case. For safety reasons, the link to the network should not be allowed to go down other than during very short periods within the service area. This may be difficult to achieve in practice considering 3D mobility within a city where links may be blocked at times. But the network should have the capability to predict and notify the connected vehicles such that they can take preventive action (e.g. stop or switch to a local operation mode). The network should also be able to timely activate adiacent links to ensure connectivity, e.g. to other device
- o High resilience, availability, and reliability for connectivity in 3D; preferably without requiring excessive deployment of network nodes.

· Compute: Offload from the vehicles to the network of heavy processing tasks and training of models should be supported, as well as in-network real-time processing of sensor data. This means that the networks should have the capability of reliable compute and AI/ML-related functionality that can be accessed at all times from any point in the network.

Availability of reliable compute capabilities offered by the network

AI/ML-related

capabilities [Y/N]

KPI Target Range Comments / Justification Peak Data Rate [Gb/s] Not expected to be a challenge Depends on service type: lower for warning User-Experienced Data and assistance, higher for digital maps. Rate [Mb/s] (<100) (For sensor fusion exchange of data may be higher.) Spectrum Efficiency Area Traffic Capacity Same as for communication services Not expected to be a challenge [Mb/s/m<sup>2</sup>] End-to-end latency [ms] Similar to V2X services. Reliability [%] 99.99 Fraction of packets within latency bound E2E Fraction of defined service space (in 3D) Coverage [%] 99.9 within latency bound. Probability to get communication service defined with E2E latency) within service Service availability [%] 00 00 pace when requested (Can replace coverage and reliability) Connection density Not expected to be a challenge 104 [devices/km<sup>2</sup>] Up to 300 Speed of vehicles (cars, drones, trains) in Mobility [km/h] eamless handove rban areas. Handover within latency bound Reliable positioning with high availability important for use case, but likely multiple 1 (3D) precision with Location accuracy 99.9% reliability sources (e.g. from onboard sensors) can be within 99 9% of ombined to achieve more precise positionin service space (0.1) (Sensor fusion). Object detection probability, Object location accuracy/resolution Sensing-related apabilities [Y/N] Object velocity accuracy/resolution. Object size accuracy/resolution.

### Table 3-10: Network-Assisted Mobility KPIs

Probability of a response time of compute/AI

capabilities within a latency limit.

Dissemination level: Public Page 42/93

### Hexa-X-II

### The performance of network-based positioning in wide area scenarios is clearly not suffici ontal accuracy for 80% of devices and 30s E2E latency [38.855])

Hexa-X-II

Deliverable D1.2

	Sustainability Handprints (benefits)	Sustainability Foot (costs)
En vironmental	<ul> <li>Reduction of GHG emissions by improving the turflife flow at the intersections bara requiring fewer traffic lanes: and freeing up space for predictions and piece spaces need to the outbound sectoring of the space space space of the saturonicity waste generated by repairs and scrapping of unequirable vhetches and the GHG generated in the process</li> <li>Supported driving, including network-assisted small drivier isso keemic whiche, made more related emissions</li> </ul>	Increased energy consump sensing activities, including collection, processing, and communication within the sensing devices operations real-time requirements to power devices would additional energy footprin compute needed at the cor Materials and energy need lifecycle emissions for add Information and Commun Technologis (ICT) infras
Social	Enhanced safety and well-being through reduced transport-related accidents increased availability of transportation: automated/scif-driving vehicles would decrease the need for human drivers' availability and could be available any time in any area Preserved/uncompromised privacy (compared to video-based perception) Enhanced continuity of transportation service even in tran areas (digital melsion)	Potential risks for privac localization data     Potential risks for trustwo of hacking (e.g., lead accidents)     Potential risks for wrong by Al/ML     Decreased job opportunitie
onomic	<ul> <li>Reduced costs for stakeholders for improved profitability from using the network for the monitoring tasks instead of additional sensors</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Safety/predictability risks economic impact</li> <li>Expenses of network infra meet the requirements for</li> </ul>

Hexa-X-II

Assisted Vehicles

Deliverable D1.2

humans' need for rest), the availability of professional drivers to conduct the route (especially in rural areas), etc. Additionally, replacing cameras with sensing capabilities from the network, can also help to decrease any privacy risks involved. However, some questions remain unanswered such as 'how to deliver a sensing system, when there are people who don't want to be sensed'. Also, how to ensure the system is resilient to any cyberattacks, as well as resolving accountability issues for AI/ML decisions. Therefore, providing trustworthy networks is essential.

### Economic

There can be reduced costs for stakeholders leading to improved profitability from using the network for the monitoring instead of separate systems. There can also be improved efficiency by freeing resources for other use that can bring profits. On the cost side, there can be safety and predictability-related risks. Building network infrastructure to meet the requirements for high reliability of services can be costly.

### 3.7.1.3 Example Service Scenarios

twork. Over the communication channel the drone can

Deliverable D1.2 or picture would be used. Finally, sharing the sensed real-time data with traffic control centres can help to

> canabilities would enable a wide-coverage and wellset of wide area sensors, that will add value to the users n traffic can be improved by means of real-time spatial s to support the vehicle when its sensors do not have line -junction, it will be made aware of the conditions around icles coming around the corner) so that the vehicle can ent from monitoring with video cameras, such sensing arkness, or in adverse weather conditions like rain or fog as for citizens would be reduced as no actual

> > Page 40 / 93

enabled by the fusion of the wide-area sensor information provided by the network, vehicle on-board sensors and/or even sensors embedded in the transport infrastructure.

### 3.7.1.4 Deployment Aspects

improve traffic flow management in smart cities

traffic situations and terrains with no human interaction.

Transport services relying on network perception should be available outdoors in wideinvironment & Type of area scenarios, primarily in urban areas but also in suburban and rural areas with more limited functionality. Many blockers in the form of buildings, vehicles, and fixed objects are expected in the main scenario. Deployment

Vehicles today have many onboard sensors to support applications such as cruise control, pedestrian detection etc. In the 6G era, the enabling of advanced automotive features such as autonomous driving and autonomous

coordinated manoeuvring is envisioned through leveraging the wide area sensors from the network, in addition

In addition, autonomous coordinated manoeuvring feature would allow multiple vehicles to autonomously

navigate through roads and highways in a coordinated fashion to ease traffic congestion and improve the traffic flow. These advanced automotive features would require a comprehensive detailed knowledge of the environment surrounding the vehicles as well as high precision localization and positioning. This would be

on-board vehicle sensors. Autonomous driving would allow vehicles to be navigated through challenging

- User Devices Several types of devices may be involved:
  - · UEs will be mounted in moving vehicles that move along streets (on or above These should be capable of high reliability, high availability, and low latency communication, as well as positioning capabilities
  - · UEs belonging to pedestrians and bikers etc. may also be temporarily stationary or slowly moving along streets. These can be expected to be standard smartphones
  - · Finally, UE roadside units may be mounted along streets to assist in measureme e.g., bi-static sensing and positioning, and should have capabilities for this.

Constraints and Challenges Likely need to deploy for Line-of-Sight (LoS) coverage in all of service area, if not non LoS methods can be used for positioning and sensing

### Table 3-9: Network-Assisted Mobility Deployment Aspects

### 3.7.1.5 Requirements and KPIs

### Requirement

- · Privacy: personal identities in public spaces must be handled in such a way that privacy is not
- · Localization: Network nodes need to be able to perform radar-like measurements using the radio interface, to detect unconnected objects, which is delivered by ICAS functionality. In conjunction with this capability, precise device positioning is required. For both these capabilities, it is expected that networks will only be able to deliver part of the required precision and coverage. A sensor fusion functionality would therefore be needed, where networks collect data from multiple sources, e.g. onboard camera and GPS, and fuse it with the network measurements to create an enhanced dataset that is shared
  - High wide-area coverage for positioning and sensing services, also in 3D. o High detection probability of unconnected objects.

### 3GPP TSG-SA WG1 Meeting #109 Athens, Greece, 17-21 February 2025 (revision of S1-25xxxx) <Your COMPANY NAME> Source New use case title: New use case on <Document TITLE>

Draft TS/TR: Agenda item: x.x Document for: Approval Contact: <name and email address>

### ...... Use Case template .....

x.1 Use case on . x.1.1 Description

<Describe what the use case intends to achieve.

x.1.2 Pre-conditions

<List any pre-conditions that need to exist for this use case, preferably as a bulleted list, e.g. UE is registered to the

### x.1.3 Service Flows

<Describe the sequence of events that explain what needs to happen, preferably as a numbered list, e.g. 1. User makes a voice call, 2. Called party receives alerting message.3

x.1.4 Post-conditions

<Describe the end result e.g. Called party can decide whether to accept call based on information displayed on UE

x.1.5 Existing features partly or fully covering the use case functionality < Highlight existing features in the existing set of normative specifications that partly or fully cover this use case.>

x.1.6 Potential New Requirements needed to support the use case

<Provide draft new requirements that are needed to realise the use case, and that are not yet covered in any normative



ERICSSON

\$1-25xxxx





### Autonomous Drone Transport

In autonomous drone transport, flying drones are carrying goods in urban areas. The drones are equipped with some sensors (camera, GPS, etc.) and a processor. Through the onboard 6G device they get a reliable

and activities and get recommended actions for fastest ted position as well as map data from the network. It can ssing from the camera feed and share the resulting deliverers of the drone transport service can count on a icked at any time, and people in the streets can trust that over their heads.



### Example: Network assisted 3D mobility Still under discussion

### x.y.6 Potential new requirements needed to support the use case

Based on national/ regional regulation and operator policy and the 6G system shall support Network assisted 3D mobility service by ensuring the following KPIs for communication, location and sensing are **fulfilled simultaneously**.

- For the communication part:
  - User experienced data rate in the range of [1-10Mb/s], depending on use case. Lower for sending warnings and assistance and higher for digital maps.
  - Round trip latency in the range of [40ms].
  - Service availability for communication 99.99% within service volume.
  - $\circ$   $\,$  Connection density in the range of  $10^4\,devices/km^2$  (all served vehicles on ground and in the air).
- For the spatial data part:
  - Location accuracy in 3D, [1m] with 90% probability and within 99% of the service volume
  - Sensing of objects in line with category 2 or 3 in Table 6.2-1 in 3GPP TS 22.137
     [6], within 99% of the service volume.





## Take aways





- Research work are important as it lays the foundation for the standardization work
  - SNS JU research projects like Hexa-X-II is used as **input** and as **motivation** for the 3GPP work
  - Alignment between companies is important, co sourcing will make the difference.
- 3GPP definitions and Ways of Working needs to be understood
- Gap analysis is very important in the standard work
- New enablers and KPI's are the important part in use cases for SA1

